University of Texas at Tyler Scholar Works at UT Tyler

MSN Capstone Projects

Nursing

Fall 12-7-2020

CPM Machine Protocol for Knee Replacements

Lacy White University of Texas at Tyler, LWhite25@patriots.uttyler.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.uttyler.edu/nursing_msn

Part of the Family Practice Nursing Commons

Recommended Citation

White, Lacy, "CPM Machine Protocol for Knee Replacements" (2020). *MSN Capstone Projects*. Paper 63. http://hdl.handle.net/10950/2759

This MSN Capstone Project is brought to you for free and open access by the Nursing at Scholar Works at UT Tyler. It has been accepted for inclusion in MSN Capstone Projects by an authorized administrator of Scholar Works at UT Tyler. For more information, please contact tgullings@uttyler.edu. CPM Machine Protocol for Knee Replacements

A Paper Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

For NURS 5382

In the School of Nursing

The University of Texas at Tyler

By: Lacy White

December 7, 2020

Executive Summary

A continuous passive motion (CPM) is a machine that is placed on a post-surgical patient related to a joint repair or replacement. The machine continuously moves in a certain degree of motion to assist the patient to move the affected limb after surgery. Increasing the range of motion in the knee is critical postoperatively because the faster the knee is put in motion the quicker that patient may be able to ambulate and complete activities of daily living. Patients require greater than or equal to 100 degrees of range of motion (ROM) in the knee to be able to complete activities of daily living such as: using the bathroom, getting up and down from a chair, climbing stairs, or even walking.

When reviewing the literature, the studies by was found Schulz et al. (2018); Liao Huang, Chiu, and Liou (2017); Hasubhai, Dibyendunarayan, and Ramalingam (2017); and Liao et al. (2015) showed decreased the patient's pain level when using the CPM machine over not using the machine. There was also an increase in the patient's knee flexion and range of motion in Schulz et al. (2018); Liao et al. (2017); Hasubhai et al. (2017); and Liao et al. (2015).

A benchmark project was conducted regarding the use of a CPM machine postoperatively to increase standardization of care for total knee replacement patients while also increasing the patient's range of motion of the knee and decreasing the post-surgical pain. Over a six week period of time, each patient was given a CPM machine no later than 2 hours post op after the total knee replacement surgery and each patient was discharged after a one night stay in the hospital under observation instead of inpatient. When the patient were discharged they would be followed by a home health service who will monitor the patient until the 6 week follow up appointment with the surgeon. This standardization of care not only can help the patient's

2

recovery after their surgery, but can also cost effective to the hospital and helpful to the nurses to know what needs to be ordered for each total knee replacement patient.

Rationale for Project

The CPM machine likely will be able to assist the patient with increased range of motion (ROM), decrease pain level, and increase the quality of life compared to no CPM use postsurgery. When determining a change project, the use of CPM machines was not standardized care throughout the hospital after a total knee replacement. It was found that one orthopedic surgeon ordered CPM machines for his post op total knee replacements while the other orthopedic surgeon did not order a CPM machine for his patients. This created a sense of disorganized care for the patients as well as confusion for the nurses and staff when taking care of total knee replacement patients. The lack of standardization in the hospital related to the use of the CPM machines used in the postoperative setting caused the confusion. When creating the project, it was focused on the need to use a CPM machine post operatively vs. no CPM machine and the affect it has on the range of motion of the knee over a six week period of time, which will create a standardization of care throughout the orthopedic unit of the hospital.

Literature Synthesis

Citation				Major				
: (i.e.,				Variable				
author(s				s Studied				
), date of	Concept	Desig		and	Measure			
publicati	ual	n/ ¯		Their	ment of	Data	Study	Strength of the Evidence (i.e., level
on, &	Framew	Meth	Sample/	Definitio	Major	Analy	Finding	of evidence + quality [study
title)	ork	od	Setting	ns	Variables	sis	S	strengths and weaknesses])

Author, Year, Title	Theoreti cal basis for study Qualitat ive Traditio n		Number, Characteris tics, Attrition rate & why?	Indepen dent variables (e.g., IV1 = IV2 =) Depende nt variables (e.g., DV =) Do not need to put IV & DV in Legend	What scales were used to measure the outcome variables (e.g., name of scale, author, reliability info [e.g., Cronbach alphas])	What stats were used to answe r the clinic al questi on (i.e., all stats do not need to be put into the table)	Statisti cal finding s or qualitat ive finding s (i.e., for every statistic al test you have in the data analysi s column , you should have a finding)	 Strengths and limitations of the study Risk or harm if study intervention or findings implemented Feasibility of use in your practice Remember: level of evidence (See PICOT handout) + quality of evidence = strength of evidence & confidence to act Use the USPSTF grading schema http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/3rduspst f/ratings.htm
---------------------------	--	--	--	--	---	---	--	---

Schulz, M., Krohne, B., Roder, W., & Sanders, K. (2018, January 21). Randomized , prospective, monocentric study.	No theory	Quantitative Experimenta 1 [RCT])	CAM n=25 CPM n=25 postoperativ e setting and used at home 40 days post op, total knee arthroplasty, patients who suffered from Gonarthrosis grade VI	IV1 = CAM machine IV2=CPM machine DV = ROM in knee, Pain, Quality of life	easurements were VAS, KOOS, and ROM with Goniometer	KOOS scales Symptom s (pCAM = 0.003, pCPM = 0.02) VAS: CAM group (p < 0.001) knee flexion e CAM group (p = 0.08)	Significantly better improvemen t of pain and quality of life scale. Postoperativ e course of pain intensity and knee flexion was significantly better in the CAM group. 0.001).	 Strength the study was conducted well through a RCT. Limitations : only 25 patients per device. No risk of harm Feasibility of use in your practice yes on the orthopedic hospital unit post on and at
						= 0.08)		yes on the orthopedic hospital

Liao, C., Huang, Y., Lin, L., Chiu, Y., Tsai, J., Chen, C., & Liou, T. (2015,	no theor y	Retrospectiv e Cohort	rapid- progress group (<i>n</i> = 119) normal- progress group (<i>n</i> =132)	Independen t variables (IV1= placement of CPM on rapid progress group or	Vestern Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthriti s Index	The results of multiple linear regression analyses indicated that	Pearson's correlation negative correlation s with	•	Strengths- lengthy study with multiple groups Limitations: unable to control the
--	------------------	--------------------------	--	---	--	--	---	---	--

Hasubhhai, P. Z.,	No	RCT,	n=34	IV1=	Normality	CMP use	PAIN	Strength:
Dibyendunaraya	theor				Nonparametri	decreases	Base=	different
n, B., &	y	Quantitative	EG: 4	conventional	c	pain	0.094	statistical
Ramalingam, T.	5	Quantinante	males	physiotherapy	test	level,	week=	test was
(2017, October			and 13	program & CPM	Friedman test	increases	0.828	done on the
1). Effectiveness			females	r8	Mann	ROM,	week=	study to
of conventional				IV2=Convention	Whitney U	girth	0.37	determine
physiotherapy				al physiotherapy	test.	decreases	ROM	the
along with				program only		, TUG	FLEXIO	effectivenes
continuous			CG 2	1.0		increases	N base:	S
passive motion			males	DV=knee flexion		, 6 MWT	<i>p</i> =0.4 4	
after total knee			and 15	ROM.6-min walk		the same	weeks p=	Limitations:
arthroplasty.			females.	test, Pain,			0.004 8	sample size
				Quadriceps			weeks p=	was small.
				strength, TUG,			0.001	
				global rate of			GIRTH	There is no
			EG:8	change scale and			AT MID	risk of
			right	knee girth.			LEVEL	harm
			knees	-				
			and 9				BASE:	This can be
			left				p= 0.73 4	used in my
			knees				weeks:	practice I
							0.79 8	currently
							weeks:	work on the
			CG: 6				0.85 TUG	orthopedic
			right				4 week:	unit at the
			knees				0.230	hospitals
			and 11				8week:	with TKA.
			anu 11				0.000 6	
							MWT 4	
							weeks=	

	left		0.000 8	Level 2
	knees		weeks=	
			0.000	Grade: B

							-	
Liao C-D,	No	Quantitative	normal	IV: CPM	Knee		Increased	Limitations:
Hang Y-C,	theory		weight $(n =$	application on	flexion,	Kolmogorov-	BMI	(Class III
Chiu Y-S,		Cohort	59)	obese patients	pain score,	Smirno, one	showed	obesity,
Liou T-H.		study	overweight		and	Way analysis	decrease	BMI≥35
(2017) Effect		-	(<i>n</i> = 95),	DV: Knee	(WOMAC)	of	effect with	kg/m2) was
of body mass			Class I	flexion, pain	physical	variance	CPM use,	small
index on knee			obesity $(n =$	score, and	function	(ANOVA)	decrease	
function			90), Class	Western	score	and	recovery	No control
outcomes			II obesity	Ontario and		Chi squared	rate	groups
following			(<i>n</i> = 82)	McMaster		eni squarea		
continuous			and Class	Universities			[Adjusted	Strengths:
passive			III obesity	Osteoarthritis			odds ratio	Relatively
motion in			(<i>n</i> = 28)	Index			(aOR) 11.9,	easy to
patients with			~ .	(WOMAC)			95%	analyses
osteoarthritis			Setting:	physical			confidence	
after total			Shuang Ho	function			interval (CI)	This study
knee			Hospital-	score.			3.49 to	is feasible
replacement: a			Taipei				40.94, <i>p</i> <	for
retrospective			Medical				0.001] and	practice.
study.			University.				WOMAC	
			A standard				physical	No risk of
			medical chart				function	harm
			review				score (aOR	
			review				5.09, 95% CI 1.62 to	Level IV
							16.03,	
							P=0.005) at	Strength of
							6-month	evidence B
							follow-up.	
							ionow-up.	
L				1		1		

Joshi, N., White,	No	RCT	n=109	IV: CPM or	Goniometry,	Mann-	There is no	Limitations:
P. B., Murray-	theory	iii ii	Did not	no CPM	WOMAC.	Whitney	significant	of CPM
Weir, M.,	uncory	Ouantitative	receive	DV: Full	PAQ	U tests	difference in the	devices,
· · ·		Quantitative	CPM	ROM,	IAQ	U lesis	use of CPM vs	,
Alexiades, M. M.,			-	· ·			no CPM	patients could not be
Sculco, T. P., &			(n=52)	Extension,		chi-		
Ranawat, A. S.			Received	Flexion,		squared	machine in the	blinded to
(2015).			CPM	WOMAC,		Fisher's	DVs measured	CPM use.
Prospective			(n=57)	PAQ		exact	over 6wks and	
randomized trial						tests	3mon period.	Strengths:
of the efficacy of			Setting:					randomized
continuous			acute					design, and
passive motion			inpatient				Both groups	relatively
post total knee			phase				knee flexion of	large sample
arthroplasty:			after TKA				115° at 6 weeks	size.
experience of the			Over age				and 120° at 3	
hospital for			of 18					Yes, this is
special surgery							months, $(P = 0.69 \text{ and } P =$	feasible
								practice on
							0.41,	the
							respectively).	orthopedic
							Extension: (six	unit in the
								hospital.
							Weeks: p =	nospitui.
							0.85; three	Level II
							months: 0.85).	Level II
							WOMAC score	C I D
							(p = 0.41 and p	Grade B
							= 0.18,	
							respectively).	
							,	
							To PAQ scores,	
							(pre-op: p =	
							0.23, 6wks post:	

				p = 0.85, 3Mo's: p = 0.75).	

Hsu, C.,	No	Quantitative	<i>n</i> =99	IV: TKR	visual	Randomize	The anxiety	Limitations:
Chen, W.,	theory			surgery because	analogue	d controlled	levels of the	researcher knew
Chen, S.,			Experimen	of knee	scale	trial.	experimenta	whether the
Tseng, Y., &		RCT	t group:	osteoarthritis,	(VAS)		1 group	patients were
Lin, P.			n=53	and (2) did not		chi-	before and	assigned to the
(2016).				use patient	heart rate	squareRV)	after CPM	experimental or
Effectiveness			Control	controlled	variabilit	-	were lower	control group.
of music			Group	analgesia	у (Н	t-test	than those	patients in the
listening in			n=46	postoperatively.	<i>y</i> (11		of patients	control group
patients with							in the	with ear buds to
total knee			Setting:	DV:			control	block sounds in
replacement			acute	anxiety,			group on the	the hospital,
during CPM			inpatient	heart rate			first and	and investigate
rehabilitation			hospital	variabilit			second days	d only the
			*	y (HRV),			following	anxiety levels,
				and joint			surgery (p <	HRV, and ROM
				range of			.05).	of joints among
				motion				hospitalized
				(ROM)			(p < .05)	patients during
							experimenta	their CPM
							1 group	rehabilitation
							lower nLF	No risk of harm
							values and	This can be
							LF/HF	used on the
							ratios and	orthopedic floor
							significantly	of the hospital.
							higher nHF	Level II
							values than	Grade B
							the control	
							group.	
							active knee	
							flexion	
							angles of	
							the two	
							groups also	
							differed	
							significantly	
							before	
							discharge (t	
							= 8.25, p <	
							.01)	
			l		l		l	

Hardt, S.,	No	Quantitative	<i>n</i> =60	IV: app-based	, the timed	е	Active	Limitations:
Schulz,	theory	-		feedback-controlled	"Up and	Kolmogoro	range of	limited
M. R.,	-	Randomized	Control	active muscle	Go [*] , 10-m	v-Smirnov	motion	number of
Pfitzner,		control trial	Group	training program	Walk Test,	test	p=0.038	knee trainer
Т.,			(<i>n</i> =27)	01 0	30-s Chair		•	prototypes,
Wassilew,				DV: active and	Stand Test,	Fisher's	Pain at rest	small
G.,			Training	passive range of	Knee	exact test	p=0.01	number of
Horstman			Group	motion (ROM),	Injury and		1	patients and
n, H.,			(n=33)	pain at rest and in	Osteoarthri		Pain in	short time
Liodakis,			. ,	motion, knee	tis		motion	to follow-
E., &			Patients	extension strength	Outcome		p=0.002	up.
Weber-			awaiting		Score		-	Strengths:
Spickshen			primary		(KOOS),		10-m	prospective,
, T. S.			TKA for		Knee		Walking	randomized,
(2018).			treatment		Society		Test	controlled
Improved			of primary		Score		p=0.032	design and
early			end-stage		(KSS), and			the combinatio
outcome after TKA			osteoarthri		clinical		KOOS	n of
through an			tis were		data.		Activities	functional,
app-based			recruited at				of Daily	clinical, and
active			a single				Living	patient
muscle			tertiary				p=0.037	reported
training			healthcare					outcome
programm			center					measures
e- a			between					. No risk of
randomize			April and October					harm
d-			2016.					This can be
controlled			2010.					used on the
trial.								orthopedic
								floor of the
								hospital.
								Level II
								Grade B
L	<u> </u>							

Liao, C., Tsauo, J., Huang, S., Chen, H.,No theoryQuantitative with an=6038, with aIV: CPM machine KneeWOMAC), KneeRandomize effect or CPM or trial.Favorab effect or CPM or treatment	e Limitations: heterogeneit y was
Huang, S., Level II mean of DV: ROM Society controlled CPM or	U
	t observed in
Chiu, Y., evidence of functionality And Favorable success	RCTs,
& Liou, T. with a ge. Patie of the Hospital effect of rates [or	ds disease
(2019). randomi nts who patients knee for Special CPM on ratio: 3.	4, duration,
Preoperati zed had a total postoperativ Surgery treatment 95%	surgery
ve range controlle knee ely. Knee success confider	
of motion d trial. replaceme Scoring. rates interval	prosthesis
and nt with (CI) 2.2	 design, and
applicatio arthritis. Cochrane 6.00].	Post
ns of population analysis	discharge
continuou s from Signific	
s passive Americas immedi	
motion (709 [postop	rati No risk of
predict patients), ve day	
outcomes Asia (4395 standard	This can be
after knee patients), mean	used on the
arthroplast Europe differen	e orthopedic
y in (692 (SMD):	floor of the
patients patients), 1.06; 95	
with and CI 0.61-	Level II
arthritis. Oceania 1.51] ar	I Grade B
(144 short-te	n Olade B
patients) (3-mont	L
follow-	p;
SMD: 0	80;
95% CI	
0.45-1.	5)
effects of	n
knee RO	M
and a lo	
term eff	ct
on funct	on
(12-moi	th
follow-	p;
SMD: 1	08;
95% CI	
0.28–1.1	9)

Yang, X., Li, G., Wang, H., & Wang, C. (2019). Continuous passive motion after total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis of associated effects on clinical outcomes.	theory	Quantitativ e Met a- anal ysis wit h ran do miz ed, cont roll ed trial s	n=12 24 OA and RA patie nts with or witho ut CPM after TKA	sion pain func swe LOS AEs and func of th pati- post ly.	ed e knee ension bassive ion/exten ROM), tition, lling, S, and .ROM etionality eents knee operative	KSS, TUG, WOM AC, Chi- square tests	meta- analysis	Short- term active knee flexion ROM (WMD, 0.48; 95% CI, _1.73 to 2.70; P=.67). Passive knee extension ROM (WMD, 1.67; 95%CI, 0.22-3.12; p=.02) Length of hospital stay (WMD, _1.05; 95% CI, 0.22-3.12; p=.02) Length of hospital stay (WMD, _1.05; 95% CI, 0.12; I2Z87%; p=.08) Adverse events (0.62 in favor of CPM; 95% CI, 0.27- 1.44; I2Z0%; p=.27)	Limi tatio ns: proto cols for the CPM and the follo w-up perio d were not unifo rm acros s all studi es, the long- term outc omes for evalu ation of CPM was Lack ing. No risk of harm This can be used on the ortho pedic floor of the hospi tal. Leve 11 Grad e B	
Chen, MC., Lin, CC., Ko, JY., & Kuo, FC. (2020).No theor the offects of immediate programmed cryotherapy and continuous passive motion in patients after		Quantitativ e randomize d, single- blinded controlled trial	(n = 30) control 30). 18 years of	ndomly d to the ntion group and the group $(n = and 90)$	IV:use of CPM and Cryoth erapy DV: postope rative pain, joint	Chi square, t test	ANCOV A (analysis of covarian ce) Deductiv e statistics	Pain p > 0.0 Joint swellir 0.157) ROM p = 0.	ng p =	Limitat ions: The study knew What group

computer assisted to knee arthropla: prospecti randomiz controlled trial.	otal sty: A ve, ed						swellin g, and increas ed ROM.					The patient was In. No risk of harm This can be used On the orthop edic Unit. Level I Grade B.
Sattler, L., Hing, W., & Vertull o, C. (2019). What is the evidenc e to support early supervi sed exercis e therapy after primar y total knee replace ment? a system atic review and meta- analysi s.	No th	eory		Quantitativ e systematic review and meta- analysis	were n= interver groups	ntion were 194, 78%. Mean	IV: TKA who used early supervi sed physica 1 therapy DV : maxim um knee flexion, (KSS), (KSF) Knee Society Functio n Score	Standard deviation And Mean difference s	n PER O (Inter nation	Exerci se Interve ntion (EI) vs the Standa rd Therap y (ST) groups (MD = 1.34; 95% CI, - 5.55 - 8.2 KSS (MD = -1.17; 95% CI, - 4.32 - 1.98) and KSFS (MD = -1.13; 95% CI, - 3.66 - 1.40) 4).	Limitati ons: e lack of randomi zed controlle d trials Strength : e high internal consiste ncy and criterion validity, good test- retest reliabilit y and high inter- rater reliabilit y no risk or harm, this can be used on the orthoped ic unit Level V Grade A	
López- Liria, R., Padilla- Góngor a, D., Catalan -	No the ory	Quanti tative RCT	n=71 32 patient s in the experi mental group (RITH)	IV: Rehab in the home or inpatient rehab after a total knee	Stand ard devia tion	(WOM AC) vi su al a	ROM ($P = 0.027$) (SD = 0.421) in the	Limita tions: allocat ion of patient s to groups was			1	J

Matam		and 39	replaceme	Mean	n	RITH	not
oros,		patient	nt	differ	al	group	rando
D.,		s in the		ence	0		mizer
Rocam		control	DV: pain,		g	(SD =	
ora-		group	stiffness,		ů	0.307)	Streng
Pérez,			and		e	in the	ths:
Р.,			function		sc	control	techni
Pérez-					al	group	ques
de la					e	0 1	used
Cruz,							and we
S., &							used
Fernán							validat
dez-							ed
Sánche							scales
z, M.							
(2015).							Yes
Home-							this
based							can be
versus							used
hospita							on an
1-based							orthop
rehabili							edic
tation							unit.
progra							
m after							Level
total							1
knee							
replace							Grade:
ment.							B

Villafañe, J.,	No	Quantitative	Experimental	IV: Post Op	Chi-	Visual Analogue	15.6°	Limitations
Isgrò, M.,	Theory		(n=14) or	knee	square	Scale, active and	(95%CI	small control
Borsatti, M.,		RCT	control (n=17)	replacement		passive range of	5.3-24.8) and 3.4°	group. Yes this study
Berjano, P., Pirali, C., &			group.	DV self-		motion of knee , Barthel index,	(95%CI	can be used
Negrini, S. (2016). Effects			Inpatient rehab	exercise program		Short Form-36 Health Survey,	1.1-5.6), for active	on an orthopedic
of action observation			Post op knee	range of motion		Tinetti scale, Lequesne index	flexion and active	unit.
treatment in recovery after			replacements	motion		measurements	extension	Level 1
total knee replacement: A prospective clinical trial.								Grade B
cinical ulai.								

Legend:

ANCOVA (analysis of covariance)

CAM: controlled active motion

CG: control group

CPM: Continuous Passive Motion

EG: experimental group

EI: Exercise intervention

KSS: Knee Society Score

KSF: Knee society function score
OA: Osteoarthritis
PAQ: Patient Administered Questionnaire
PROSPERO: International prospective register of systematic reviews
RA Rheumatoid Arthritis
RCT: random control study
ROM: range of motion
ST: Standard Therapy
TUG: time up and go test
WOMAC- Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index
6MWT: 6 minute walk test

Stakeholders

With any change, there are always stakeholders who are affected by the change. If this project was introduced, to the patients, staff, and surgeons there would be multiple stakeholders that would be involved. The patients would be the first ones to be benefited by the initiation of CPM machines because it will add value to their post-operative care by increasing the ROM of the knee, decrease the pain level, and also increase their quality of life. The next stakeholder would be the nurses on the orthopedic unit because they would be able to know exactly what each patient needs by having standardization of care for the patients. The next stakeholders would be the hospital. Although the hospital may need to buy more CPM machines in order to account for the increase in postoperative care, the patient will rent each CPM machine from the hospital, so the cost of the machines will be reimbursed and then some.

Implementation

In week 1, a PowerPoint with a voiceover will be sent out due to COVID-19 to educate the stakeholders on why a CPM machine should be used post operatively. In week 2, a small meeting will be held with the surgeons and go over the CPM protocol and adjust if needed. We

will also answer any questions that need to be addressed. The following day, we will meet with the charge nurses on the different shifts to go over the CPM protocol and practice placing a CPM on a patient. In week three, the rest of the nursing staff will be taught how to apply the CPM machine and have the charge nurses there to help make it go smoother. In week four, start using the CPM protocol on the floor. The protocol consists of: Administer pain medication and place ice on the patient's knee, wait 30 minutes for the medication and the ice to decrease the patient's pain level. Place the CPM machines on patients no later than two hour post operatively and start with the patient at zero degree extension and 45 degrees flexion. If the patient is tolerating well, increase the flexion by ten degrees every ten minutes until the patient reaches 95 degrees of flexion. Take the patient off of the CPM after one hour of use and rest for 3hours then, repeat 4 times a day while in the hospital. When the patient is able to tolerate the 95 degrees of flexion, then start the machine at this degree instead of starting at 45 degrees and working your way up. Discharge the patient on post op day on if applicable. Before the patient is discharged, call the supply company to get the home CPM setup and teach the patient how to use it. Instruct the patient to use the CPM three times a day at home for the next six weeks while staying at 95 degrees for one hour at a time. The patient should make a follow up appointment with their surgeon six weeks postoperatively to evaluate the knee with evaluation tools. The project would be determined as a success if 65 percent of the patient's active degree of flexion was between 85-100 degrees and the patients WOMAC score showed improvement.

Flowchart

Week 1	Send out a PowerPoint with a voice over due to COVID-19 to educate the
	stakeholders on why a CPM machine should be used post operatively.
Week 2	Hold a meeting with the surgeons, go over the CPM protocol and adjust if needed.
	Meet with the charge nurses to go over the CPM protocol and practice placing a
	CPM on a patient.

Week 3	Bring in the rest of the nursing staff to teach how to apply the CPM machine and
	go over and have the charge nurses there to help make it go smoother.
Week 4	Start using the CPM protocol on the floor with all total knee replacement patients.
Weeks	Follow up with each patient at the orthopedic follow up appointment for a total of
10-16	six weeks to assess the patient's active flexion and WOMAC score.
Week 17	Go over the results from the patients from the last six week to assess how well the
	CPM protocol is working. For the patients range of motion and the WOMAC
	score.

Data Collection Methods

To evaluate the effectiveness of a continuous passive range of motion (CPM) machine on a total knee replacement, two measuring tools will be used when the patient returns for the post op appointment at the orthopedic office. The first measuring tool that will be used is the goniometer. This tool measures active "knee flexion ROM in patients with TKR and has high test-retest reliability (ICC = 0.88)" (Lio et al., 2017, p. 268). The second tool is the WOMAC physical function score, and "this score is calculated by the WOMAC is composed of three domains containing 24 items: pain (five items), stiffness (two items) and functional difficulty (17 items). Dimension scores were normalized ranging from 0 to 100, with 100 indicating the worst possible state" (Lio et al., 2017, p. 268). Melnyk, Morrison-Beedy, and Cote-Arsenault (2019) states, "there are major advantages of using surveys including rapid collection of data and flexibility." Both the goniometer and the WOMAC score can determine if the CPM machine increases that patient's range of motion as well as its effect on pain, stiffness, and functional abilities such as completing activities of daily living.

Cost/Benefits

The average length of stay for a total knee replacement patient is three days at this time. When using the CPM protocol, the length of stay per patient will be reduced by one day. This decrease in stay could significantly save money for patients and hospitals since "Medicare allocated \$6,975 reimbursement for day one since this is where a majority of the costs

lie (day of surgery and implant cost). The payment allocation is \$3,488 for day two and \$698 for day three. As the GMLOS has decreased, the hospital payment for day three has also decreased" ("Length of Stay," 2013, p.3). It was found that the average bed cost is \$500-\$700 and on day three the bed cost reimbursement decreases to \$370 ("Length of Stay," 2013, p.3). If there were a total of 100 total knee replacement patients that had a length of stay of only two days, the hospital would save a total of \$37,000. Due to this, there will be extra renewal for the shorter length of stay per patient.

Overall Discussion of Results

Due to this being a benchmark project, there were no results that were collected. From the discussion and evidence above, it would be concluded the use of the CPM machine protocol would be beneficial to the patients as well as all the stakeholders involved. The increase in ROM of the knee will help that patients perform activities of daily living. The hospital will have an increase in revenue, and the nurses will have a certain standardization of care for all total knee replacement patients.

Recommendations

The recommendations for the direction of this project would be to continue the CPM protocol on the orthopedic unit. The protocol will help the standardization of care since the staff would know exactly what each total knee replacement patient needs. Though this project was very simplistic, it has made a change cost wise towards the hospital by increasing the revenue. Personally, as a future MSN, the project should have pertained more to the master's area of nursing rather than the floor nurses aspect of care. As a recommendation to the facility, the CPM protocol is a great way to standardize the care. The facility can learn and adapt this protocol to assist with other diagnoses. The facility can create standing orders or protocols so

patients can have standardized care and each nurse knows exactly what to get the patients

throughout the hospital stay.

References

- Chen, M.C., Lin, C.C., Ko, J.Y., & Kuo, F.C. (2020). The effects of immediate programmed cryotherapy and continuous passive motion in patients after computer-assisted total knee arthroplasty: A prospective, randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Orthopedic Surgery and Research*, 15(1). Retrieved September 26, 2020, from doi:10.1186/s13018-020-01924
- Hasubhai, P. Z., Dibyendunarayan, B., & Ramalingam, T. (2017) Effectiveness of conventional physiotherapy along with continuous passive motion after total knee arthroplasty. *Indian Journal of Physiotherapy & Occupational Therapy*, 11, 195-200. doi:10.5958/0973-5674.2017.00145.9
- Hsu, C., Chen, W., Chen, S., Tseng, Y., & Lin, P. (2016). Effectiveness of music listening in patients with total knee replacement during CPM rehabilitation. *Biological Research for Nursing*, 18, 68-75. doi:10.1177/1099800415572147
- Joshi, R. N., White, P. B., Murray-Weir, M., Alexiades, M. M., Sculco, T. P., & Ranawat, A. S. (2015). Prospective randomized trial of the efficacy of continuous passive motion post total knee arthroplasty: Experience of the hospital for special surgery. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, 30, 2364–2369. doi:2048/10.1016/j.arth.2015.06.006
- Length of stay is critical for total hip and knee replacement cost of care > . (2013) Accelero Health Partners. Retrieved from https://www.zimmerbelgium.be/content/dam/zimmerweb/documents/en-GB/pdf/personal-fit/Zimmer_PersonalFit_Hospital_Efficiency_ACC-263-White_Paper_LOS.pdf
- Liao, C. D., Huang, Y. C., Lin, L. F., Chiu, Y. S., Tsai, J. C., Chen, C. L., & Liou, T. H. (2015). Continuous passive motion and its effects on knee flexion after total knee arthroplasty in patients

with knee osteoarthritis. *Knee Surgery Sports Traumatology Arthroscopy*, 24, 2578-2586. doi:10.1007/s00167-015-3754-x

- Liao C. D., Huang Y. C., Chiu, Y.S., Liou, T.H., (2017) Effect of body mass index on knee function outcomes following continuous passive motion in patients with osteoarthritis after total knee replacement: A retrospective study. *Physiotherapy*, 103, 266-275. doi: 10.1016/j.physio.2016.04.003
- Liao, C., Tsauo, J., Huang, S., Chen, H., Chiu, Y., & Liou, T. (2019). Preoperative range of motion and applications of continuous passive motion predict outcomes after knee arthroplasty in patients with arthritis. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, 27. doi: 10.109701
- López-Liria, R., Padilla-Góngora, D., Catalan-Matamoros, D., Rocamora-Pérez, P., Pérez-de la Cruz, S.,
 & Fernández-Sánchez, M. (2015). Home-based versus hospital-based rehabilitation program after total knee replacement. *BioMed Research International*, 1–9. doi:10.1155/2015/450421
- Melnyk, B. M., Morrison-Beedy, D., Cote-Arsenault, D. (2019). In B. Melnyk & E. Fineout-Overholt (Eds.), *Evidence-Based Practice in Nursing & Healthcare A Guide to Best Practice*. (4th ed., pp. 219-232). Wolters Kluwer
- Schulz, M., Krohne, B., Roder, W., & Sanders, K. (2018). Randomized, prospective, monocentric study to compare the outcome of continuous passive motion and controlled active motion after total knee arthroplasty. *Technology and Health Care*, 26, 499–506. doi: 10.3233/THC-170850
- Sattler, L., Hing, W., & Vertullo, C. (2019). What is the evidence to support early supervised exercise therapy after primary total knee replacement? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 20(1). doi:10.1186/s12891-019-2415-5

- Villafañe, J., Isgrò, M., Borsatti, M., Berjano, P., Pirali, C., & Negrini, S. (2016). Effects of action observation treatment in recovery after total knee replacement: A prospective clinical trial. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 31(3), 361–368. doi:10.1177/0269215516642605
- Yang, X., Li, G., Wang, H., & Wang, C. (2019). Continuous passive motion after total knee arthroplasty: A systematic review and meta-analysis of associated effects on clinical outcomes. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 100, 1763-1778. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2019.02.001