#### University of Texas at Tyler

### Scholar Works at UT Tyler

Human Resource Development Faculty Publications and Presentations

**Human Resource Development** 

Spring 1-2023

## Plasma Drug Testing for D and L Isomers of Amphetamine and Methamphetamine by Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry with a Range of 2.5 to 1000 ng/mL

Rob E. Carpenter University of Texas at Tyler, rcarpenter@uttyler.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.uttyler.edu/hrd\_fac



Part of the Business Commons, and the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Carpenter, R.E., Long, M., & Robbins, B. (2022, September). Plasma drug testing for D and L isomers of amphetamine and methamphetamine by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry with a range of 2.5 to 1000 ng/ml [Poster presentation]. International Society for Laboratory Hematology Conference, Bologna, Italy. https://www.islh.org/2022/index.php

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the Human Resource Development at Scholar Works at UT Tyler. It has been accepted for inclusion in Human Resource Development Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of Scholar Works at UT Tyler. For more information, please contact tgullings@uttyler.edu.

#### PLASMA DRUG TESTING FOR D AND L ISOMERS OF AMPHETAMINE AND METHAMPHETAMINE BY LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY MASS SPECTROMETRY WITH A RANGE OF 2.5 TO 1000 NG/ML

Rob Carpenter<sup>2</sup>, Mary Long<sup>1</sup>, Brian Robbins<sup>1</sup>
<sup>1</sup>Advanta Analytical Laboratories Tyler, TX, USA,
<sup>2</sup>University of Texas at Tyler Tyler, TX, USA

Introduction: Development and validation of a quantitative plasma assay for measuring D and L amphetamine and methamphetamine in human K2 EDTA samples to determine prescription versus illicit sources of the analytes. The assay was developed using liquid-liquid extraction followed by a dry down step and reconstitution with D and L mobile phase. The samples were separated on a chiral column and measured using an API 4000™ LC/MS/MS system. Methods: The assay was validated according to US FDA, CLIA and CAP guidelines including assessment of the following parameters in plasma validation samples: linear range, limit of detection, lower limit of quantitation, matrix effects, inter- and intra-day assay precision and accuracy, carry over, linearity of dilution, matrix effects and stability. Detection was done by using an The assay was validated according to US FDA. CLIA and CAP guidelines including assessment of the following parameters in plasma validation samples: linear range, limit of detection, lower limit of quantitation, matrix effects, inter- and intra-day assay precision and accuracy, carry over, linearity of dilution, matrix effects and stability. Detection was done by using an API 4000™ LC/MS/MS system. The chiral separation was performed using isocratic separation with a Supelco Astec CHIROBIOTIC ® V2 (25 cm x 2.1 mm, 5µm) column. Results: The assay had a dynamic range of detection from 2.5 to 1000 ng/ml for all 4 analytes. It had %error (%E) and % coefficient of variability (%CV) < 20 at the lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ) (2.5 ng/ml) and < 15 over the range of the assay for the standard curve. Validation samples at 7.5 300 and 900 ng/ ml exhibited inter and intra-assay %CV and %E of < 15. The analytes exhibit excellent room temperature, refrigerated, and frozen stability characteristics with less than 15% from expected. The assay showed good post extraction stability with less than 15% degradation over 7 days. There were negligible effects of matrix, carry over, freeze thaw, concomitant medications, and dilution. Conclusions: A quantitative method was developed and validated as reliable technique for determination of D and L isomers of amphetamine and methamphetamine in human plasma samples on an older API 4000™ LC/ MS/MS system using liquid-liquid extraction.

# COMPARISON BETWEEN MINDRAY 6800-PLUS AND SYSMEX X-20 INSTRUMENTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF BODY FLUIDS

<u>IRIA CEBREIROS-LÓPEZ</u><sup>1</sup>, MARINA CAPARRÓS-GUERRERO<sup>1</sup>, DAVID ANTÓN-MARTÍNEZ<sup>1</sup>, RAMON SIMON-LOPEZ<sup>2</sup>, JOSE ANTONIO NOGUERA-VELASCO<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory Medicine Department, Hospital Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca Murcia, Spain, <sup>2</sup>Scientific Research and Medical Affair, Mindray Oberwil Zug, Switzerland

Introduction: The analysis of body fluids (BF) is a commonly practiced test to check the cause of an effusion or to investigate the cause of meningitis. hemorrhage or tumors. Nowadays, the automated hematology instruments includes also the automated analysis of BF. Our objetive is to compare the BF analysis performance of the instrument Mindray6800Plus with the Instrument SysmexXN20. Methods: We analyzed 153 BF selected from among all those that we received in the laboratory. The only requirement was that there was the necessary volume to analyze the samples with both instruments. All BF were analyzed in a Mindray6800 and in a SysmexXN20. We used the statistical package Medcalc: summary statistics tables, D'Agostino-Pearson and Passing-Bablok regression method. Results:

#### CSF (n=60)

	Mean		Cl [050/ CH-	Pear- son CC		
	Min- dray6800	Sysmex XN20	Slope [95%CI]; Intercept *		p	
WBC	1741.42	1541,07	0.989 [0,96-1]; 0.1709	0,998	< 0,0001	
RBC	13190,5	14421,3	0.9923 [0,94-1]; 201,15	0,982	< 0,0001	
PMN%	43,85	41,19	0.9701 [0,92- 0,998]; -0,035	0,991	0,0075	
MN%	53,11	55,78	0.9777 [0,93- 1,006]; 2,694	0,991	0,0017	

#### PLEURAL FLUID (n=53)

	Mean		Slope[95%-	Pear-	
	Min- dray6800	Sysmex XN20	CI]; Intercept *	son CC	p
WBC	7955,02	7864,5	1,06[1,3- 1,097]; -6,62	0,979	< 0,0001
RBC	146773,6	137849,1	1[1-1,032]; 0	0,962	< 0,0001
PMN%	26,63	25,94	1[0,974-1,04]; 0,3	0,955	0,0106
MN%	73,32	74,06	1[0,971-1,04]; -0,5	0,954	0,0106

ASCITIC FLUID (n=40)